MALDI-mass spectrometric imaging reveals hypoxia-driven lipids and proteins in a breast tumor model Lu Jiang¹^{\$}, Kamila Chughtai²^{\$}, Samuel O. Purvine³, Zaver M. Bhujwalla^{1,4}, Venu Raman^{1,4}, Ljiljana Paša-Tolić³, 6 Ron M. A. Heeren^{2,5}*, Kristine Glunde^{1,4,*} ¹Division of Cancer Imaging Research, Russell H. Morgan Department of Radiology and Radiological Science, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland, USA. ²FOM Institute AMOLF, Amsterdam, The Netherlands. ³Environmental Molecular Sciences Laboratory, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, Washington, USA ⁴Sidney Kimmel Comprehensive Cancer Center, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland, USA. ⁵M4I, The Maastricht MultiModal Molecular Imaging Institute, Maastricht, The Netherlands * Correspondence to: Kristine Glunde, Ph.D. Department of Radiology Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine 212 Traylor Bldg 720 Rutland Ave Baltimore, MD 21205 Tel: +1 (410)-614-2705 Fax: +1 (410)-614-1948 Email: kglunde@mri.jhu.edu Ron M. A. Heeren, Ph.D. FOM Institute AMOLF Science Park 104 1098 XG Amsterdam The Netherlands Tel: +31-20-7547100; Fax: +31-20-7547290; Email: heeren@amolf.nl ^{\$} Authors contributed equally. **Key words:** breast cancer, mass spectrometric imaging, hypoxia, phosphatidylcholine, lipid, peptide, protein.

TOC Graphic



Analytical Chemistry

Abstract

Hypoxic areas are a common feature of rapidly growing malignant tumors and their metastases, and are typically spatially heterogeneous. Hypoxia has a strong impact on tumor cell biology and contributes to tumor progression in multiple ways. To date, only a few molecular key players in tumor hypoxia, such as for example hypoxia-inducible factor-1 (HIF-1), have been discovered. The distribution of biomolecules is frequently heterogeneous in the tumor volume, and may be driven by hypoxia and HIF-1 α . Understanding the spatially heterogeneous hypoxic response of tumors is critical. Mass spectrometric imaging (MSI) provides a unique way of imaging biomolecular distributions in tissue sections with high spectral and spatial resolution. In this paper, breast tumor xenografts grown from MDA-MB-231-HRE-tdTomato cells, with a red fluorescent tdTomato protein construct under the control of a hypoxia response element (HRE)-containing promoter driven by HIF-1 α , were used to detect the spatial distribution of hypoxic regions. We elucidated the 3D spatial relationship between hypoxic regions and the localization of lipids and proteins by using principal component analysis - linear discriminant analysis (PCA-LDA) on 3D rendered MSI volume data from MDA-MB-231-HRE-tdTomato breast tumor xenografts. In this study we identified hypoxia-regulated proteins active in several distinct pathways such as glucose metabolism, regulation of actin cytoskeleton, protein folding, translation/ribosome, splicesome, the PI3K-Akt signaling pathway, hemoglobin chaperone, protein processing in endoplasmic reticulum, detoxification of reactive oxygen species, aurora B signaling/apoptotic execution phase, the RAS signaling pathway, the FAS signaling pathway/caspase cascade in apoptosis and telomere stress induced senescence. In parallel we also identified co-localization of hypoxic regions and various lipid species such as PC(16:0/18:0), PC(16:0/18:1), PC(16:0/18:2), PC(16:1/18:4), PC(18:0/18:1), PC(18:1/18:1) among others. Our findings shed light on the biomolecular composition of hypoxic tumor regions, which may be responsible for a given tumor's resistance to radiation or chemotherapy.

Introduction

Hypoxia is a hallmark of cancer and triggers multiple signaling cascades that significantly impact upon tumor angiogenesis, increased invasion and metastasis, selection for resistance to apoptosis as well as radiation and chemotherapy ¹⁻³. Hypoxia-inducible factor-1 (HIF-1) pathway is one of the best-characterized signaling pathways regulated by hypoxia in cancer ^{4,5}. HIF-1 α , which is overexpressed in breast cancer, highly impacts upon tumor progression by enhancing the transcription of target genes, such as for example P53 ^{4,6}, vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) ⁷, and lysyl oxidase ⁸ through binding to cis-acting hypoxia response elements (HRE) containing the consensus binding site 5'-RCGTG-3' in the promoters of these genes. HIF-2 α is also regulated by hypoxia and binds with HIF-1 β to form the HIF-2 heterodimer. While HIF-1 α and HIF-2 α share a high degree of sequence similarity, HIF-2 stimulates some, but not all of the genes activated by HIF-1, such as chemokine receptor type 4 (CXCR4) ⁹, Ephrin-A1 ¹⁰, and transmembrane glycoprotein CD44 ¹¹, among others. We have previously utilized MDA-MB-231-HRE-tdTomato breast cancer cells, which stably express tdTomato red fluorescent protein under the control of an HRE-containing promoter to detect the spatial distribution of hypoxic regions within the tumor ¹¹. These hypoxic regions arise from inadequate oxygen supply due to spatially heterogeneous variations in vascular volume, vascular permeability, and microvessel density across the tumor volume ^{1,12}.

HIF-1 α expression alone cannot be viewed as a definitive marker of tumor response to hypoxia, and recent studies have focused on determining other gene and protein signatures involved in this complex phenomenon. Chi *et al.* ¹³ used human cDNA microarrays containing 42,000 elements that represent 27,291 unique genes and detected 253 genes that are up-regulated under hypoxia in human mammary epithelial cells and renal proximal tubule epithelial cells *in vitro*. Buffa *et al.* ¹⁴ showed that genes involved in angiogenesis such as vascular endothelial growth factor A (VEGFA), glucose metabolism such as glucose transporter type 1 (GTR1), phosphoglycerate mutase 1 (PGAM1), enolase I (ENOA), L-lactate dehydrogenase A (LDHA), triosephosphate isomerase II (TPIS2), and fructose-bisphosphate aldolase A (ALDOA), and cell cycle regulation such as cyclindependent kinase inhibitor 3 (CDKN3) were among those that were likely over-expressed in hypoxic breast cancers. Using proteomics tools, Cui *et al.* ¹⁵ identified hypoxia-regulated proteins that were overexpressed in micro-dissected pancreatic cancer nests. Immunohistochemistry (IHC) confirmed that these pancreatic cancer nests had significantly higher expression levels of glucose-regulated protein 78 (GRP78), macrophage migration inhibitory factor (MIF), and annexin A5 (ANXA5) than normal pancreatic tissues, suggesting these hypoxia-regulated proteins as promising targets for pancreatic cancer diagnosis and therapy ¹⁵.

Tumor hypoxia is typically spatially heterogeneous making it challenging to assess the spatial distribution of co-localizing biomolecules and investigate hypoxia-regulated pathways in solid tumors. We have previously

Page 5 of 24

Analytical Chemistry

performed a comprehensive analysis of the combined *in vivo* ¹H MRSI and *ex vivo* optical imaging data obtained from the MDA-MB-231-HRE-tdTomato breast tumor model, and showed a higher concentration of noninvasively detected tCho and mobile lipid droplets that co-localized with the tdTomato-fluorescing hypoxic regions, which indicated that hypoxia can upregulate tCho and lipid CH3 levels in this breast tumor model ¹⁶⁻¹⁸.

Mass spectrometric imaging (MSI) enables the characterization and profiling of a plethora of molecules, and at the same time reveals their individual spatial localizations in cancer tissue sections, without the need for labeling of these molecules ¹⁹. Hence, MSI provides a unique way to make snapshots of molecular distributions in a high-throughput manner with high spectral and spatial resolution ²⁰. We have recently identified characteristic tryptic peptides from the red fluorescent tdTomato protein by combining microscopic fluorescence imaging with matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization (MALDI)-MSI using a novel fiducial marker system ²¹, making it possible to detect tdTomato by MALDI-MSI. We have spatially localized a number of lipid species in two dimensions in this breast tumor xenograft model ²². It is now possible to perform 3D reconstruction and rendering of MSI tissue volumes by using block-face optical imaging methods ²³ or fiducial marker strategies ²⁴ to accurately align successive 2D MSI experiments of tissue sections that are cut with well-defined spacing throughout a biological sample, such as a tumor or an organ. 3D reconstruction and rendering of MSI data is useful for visualizing the characteristics of a tissue volume in 3D, and it also enables quantitative mining of 3D MSI volume data, for example, for quantifying correlations between spectral and spatial features, by using multivariate statistical analysis approaches ^{25,26}.

Differences in phospholipid signals between renal cell carcinoma and adjacent normal tissue have been studied in 2D using multivariate analysis on desorption electrospray ionization (DESI)-MSI data with a misclassification rate of about 14% ²⁷. DESI lipid imaging was able to show a high recognition rate of 97% with cross validation for classifying subtype, grade, and concentration features of human brain tumors ²⁸. MSI of biopsies from human colorectal cancer liver metastases revealed lipids that are significantly more or less abundant in the tumor region ²⁹. PCA-LDA was used for analyzing 2D MALDI-MSI data of on-tissue digested tryptic peptides from a human formaldehyde-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) pancreatic tumor tissue microarray (TMA) and demonstrated that a novel tumor classification model based on direct proteome information was feasible ³⁰. A recent study ³¹ proposed a new computational pipeline for construction and analysis of 3D MALDI-MSI data, including unsupervised image segmentation and peak picking modules, to reveal 3D anatomic structures of the mouse kidney. Two up-to-date reviews about the challenges of statistical multivariate analysis of MALDI-MSI data, especially 3D MALDI-MSI data, have addressed that the large size of MALDI-MSI data is the main challenge for analysis ^{32,33}.

In this study, to systematically understand and evaluate the lipidomic and proteomic complexity as well as the spatial distribution of the hypoxic response of breast tumors, we performed 3D MALDI-MSI of MDA-MB-231-HRE-tdTomato breast cancer tissue sections and applied supervised statistical multivariate analyses to these high-throughput 3D MALDI-MSI data. We also generated a breast cancer protein database by performing reverse-phase liquid chromatography (RPLC)-electrospray ionization (ESI)-MS analysis of MBA-MB-231-HRE-tdTomato cells combined with accurate mass tag (AMT) strategies, and identified 3D MALDI-MSI-detected tryptic peptides and proteins by searching this AMT-database.

Experimental Materials and Methods

Figure 1

MALDI Mass Spectrometric Imaging of Breast Tumor Xenograft Models

Figure 1 shows an overview of our experimental and data analysis workflow including sample preparation of breast tumor tissue, 3D MALDI-MSI, and data processing in human MDA-MB-231-HRE-tdTomato breast tumor xenografts ^{18,34,35}. MALDI-MSI was performed using the 10 µm sections on ITO slides. Prior to MSI analysis, tissue sections were briefly washed by immersion in 70% and 90% ethanol and dried in a vacuum desiccator for 10 min. Trypsin was resuspended in water at a concentration of 0.05 μ g/ μ L, and 5 nL per spot was deposited on the tissue in a 150 µm × 150 µm raster by CHIP-1000 Chemical Printer (Shimadzu, Japan). A solution of α -cyano-4-hydroxycinnamic acid (CHCA) matrix (Fluka, Switzerland) was prepared at a concentration of 10 mg/mL in 1:1 ACN:H₂O/0.1% TFA and was applied to the tissue surface by an ImagePrep (Bruker, Germany) application system. Samples were analyzed on a MALDI-O-TOF (Synapt HDMS, Waters, UK) instrument in time-of-flight (TOF) mode detecting the positive ions in a mass range between m/z 100 and m/z 3000. The MALDI-MS images were acquired with 150 μ m × 150 μ m spatial resolution. In this study, we have applied a two-step multivariate data analysis method, which consisted of consecutive PCA ³⁶ and LDA ³⁷ analyses of the hyperspectral 3D MALDI-MSI data obtained from MDA-MB-231-HRE-tdTomato breast tumor xenografts as shown in Figure 1. The identification of m/z peaks in MALDI-MSI was performed based on an accurate mass tag (AMT) proteomics database ^{38,39}, which was obtained from the MDA-MB-231-HREtdTomato cell line, and dissected normoxic and hypoxic MDA-MB-231-HRE-tdTomato tumor tissues. Details of experimental materials and methods can be found in the Supplemental Information.

Results

Analytical Chemistry

Our 3D PCA-LDA analysis of MALDI-MS images of positive ions in the lipid range from m/z 100 to m/z 1000 in four MDA-MB-231-HRE-tdTomato breast tumor xenografts resulted in 31 top-ranked principal components, which accounted for 78% variance in both PCA and LDA analyses ⁴⁰. The ratio of between category variation to within category variation (B/W) of the first linear discriminant component was 2.261. Analysis of MALDI-MS images in the tryptic peptide range from m/z 1000 to m/z 3000 in four MDA-MB-231-HRE-tdTomato breast tumors resulted in 100 top-ranked principal components that were analyzed in the LDA analysis. The B/W ratio of the first linear discriminant component was 3.651.

Figure 2

Our data analysis approach captured the overall spatial molecular heterogeneity as well as distinct regions in these breast tumor models. Figure 2 displays PCA and LDA component 1 and 2 reconstructed images for the lipid and tryptic peptide ranges, which visualize the heterogeneous distribution of these biomolecules within this representative MDA-MB-231-HRE-tdTomato breast tumor. For example PCA component 2 and LDA component 1 reconstructed images (Figure 2, top panel) show distinct biomolecular distributions in the center area of the tumor, where hypoxic regions can be found in this representative tumor as evident from the distribution of the tdTomato tryptic peptide at m/z 2225.0.

Table 1

By combining the analysis results from all four MDA-MB-231-HRE-tdTomato tumors, we obtained 237 common m/z peaks from tryptic peptides that were increased in hypoxic regions of the 3D MALDI-MSI data. The LDA loading ranks from Table 1 represent the loading abundance in the LDA loading spectra from all four tumors. These m/z peaks were identified using our AMT-database with the requirement that 2 or more tryptic peptides per protein needed to be found in the 3D MALDI-MSI datasets and AMT-database, which resulted in identification of 110 proteins as listed in Supplemental Table S1. The top 10 of these hypoxia-regulated proteins are listed in Table 1, including plectin (PLEC) involved in the organization of extracellular matrix, deoxyuridine 5'-triphosphate nucleotidohydrolase (DUT) an enzyme involved in nucleotide metabolism, glucose metabolism related proteins such as triosephosphate isomerase (TPIS) and LDHA, as well as Von Hippel-Lindau-binding protein 1 (PFD3), which in complex with Von Hippel-Lindau (VHL) protein can translocate from the cytoplasm to the nucleus. The VHL protein acts as target recruitment subunit in the E3 ubiquitin ligase complex which recruits hydroxylated HIF-1 α under normoxic conditions⁴¹. Some of these proteins, such as ENOA and hypoxia up-regulated protein 1 (HYOU1), were previously reported to be regulated

by tumor hypoxia (Table S1)^{42,43}. ENOA is a multifunctional enzyme that, in addition to its role in glycolysis, participates in growth control, hypoxia tolerance, and allergic responses ⁴⁴. HYOU1 has a pivotal role in cytoprotective cellular mechanisms triggered by oxygen deprivation and is highly expressed in macrophages within aortic atherosclerotic plaques and in breast cancers ⁴². Twelve hypoxia-regulated proteins that we identified are associated with glycolysis and glucose metabolism, such as ENOA and fructose-bisphosphate aldolase A (ALDOA), glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (G3P), malate dehydrogenase, mitochondrial (MDHM), L-lactate dehydrogenase A (LDHA), phosphoglycerate mutase 1 (PGAM1), as well as the subunit of the cytochrome c oxidase (COX41) and cytochrome b-c1 complex subunit 1 (OCR1), protein disulfideisomerase (PDIA1) involved in cell redox homeostasis (Table S1). We have also detected extracellular matrix modifying proteins such as cathepsin (CATD) and collagen-binding protein (SERPH) to be up-regulated by hypoxia in breast tumors (Table S1). Some hypoxia-regulated proteins that we identified are associated with the PI3K/Akt/mTOR signaling pathway, such as peptidyl-prolyl cis-trans isomerase A (PPIA) (Table S1), 14-3-3 protein beta/alpha (1433B). We also identified ATP dependent RNA helicase (DDX3X) to be up-regulated by hypoxia, which was reported to be directly modulated by HIF-1 α in breast epithelial cells ⁴⁵. In addition. we identified several proteins in our study that have not previously been reported to be up-regulated by hypoxia, such as coronin (COR1B), ATP dependent RNA helicase (DDX17), von Hippel-Lindau-binding protein 1 (VBP1), membrane-organizing extension spike protein (MOES), radixin (RADI), and T-complex protein 1 subunit beta (TCPB) (Table S1).

Figure 3

 The discovered hypoxia-regulated proteins from Table 1 were analyzed with the protein-protein interaction database Reactome (http://www.reactome.org/) to generate a functional protein interaction network, which clusters the discovered proteins into distinct biological pathways as displayed in Figure 3. Hypoxia-regulated proteins clustered in several distinct pathways such as glucose metabolism, regulation of actin cytoskeleton, protein folding, translation/ribosome, spliceosome, the PI3K-Akt signaling pathway, hemoglobin chaperone, protein processing in endoplasmic reticulum, detoxification of reactive oxygen species, aurora B signaling/apoptotic execution phase, the RAS signaling pathway, the FAS signaling pathway/caspase cascade in apoptosis, and telomere stress induced senescence.

Table 2

Page 9 of 24

Analytical Chemistry

Similarly, we generated a lipid list for the range of m/z 100 to m/z 1000 from all four MDA-MB-231-HREtdTomato tumors. The LDA loading ranks from Table 2 represent the loading abundance in the LDA loading spectra from all four tumors. Some m/z candidates were structurally identified by MS/MS analysis. Since most of the metabolites and small peptides were not structurally verified by MS/MS analysis, we did not list them in the table. However, the distribution of several m/z values in the metabolite and small peptide range such as phosphocholine (PCho) at m/z 184.1 significantly differentiated hypoxic and normoxic regions as evident from Figure S2. A total of 34 hypoxia-regulated lipid-related ions were identified from four tumors as listed in Supplemental Table S2. Table 2 lists the top 20 of these final hypoxia-regulated lipids, which include distinct phosphatidylcholine (PC) species such as PC(16:0/18:1) at m/z 760.5 [M+H]⁺, PC(18:1/18:1) at m/z 786.5 [M+H]⁺, PC(16:1/18:4) at m/z 790.4 [M+K]⁺, as well as sphingomyelin (SM) SM(d18:1/24:0) at m/z 837.5 [M+Na]⁺, and other lipids species (Table 2). The average number of fatty acid double bonds per PC molecule was about 1.7 in both hypoxic and normoxic regions (Table 2). Hence, there was no difference in the degree of saturation in the PC species and all other lipids when comparing hypoxic with normoxic regions in MDA-MB-231-HRE-tdTomato tumors.

Further validation of spatial co-localization between lipid and tryptic peptide m/z peaks and tdTomato at m/z 2225.0 was demonstrated in the Supplemental Information in Figures S2, S3 and S4.

Figure 4

The identified hypoxia-up-regulated lipids and proteins can be displayed in 2D and 3D to visualize their colocalization with hypoxic tumor regions, which were identified by increased tdTomato expression in this MDA-MB-231-HRE-tdTomato breast tumor xenograft model. To this end, representative biomolecular MALDI-MS images are displayed in both 2D (Figure 4A) and 3D (Figure 4B). Figure 4 clearly demonstrates that PS(14:0/22:6) at m/z 818.4, a tryptic peptide of hypoxia up-regulated protein (HYOU1) at m/z 1047.4, as well as LDHA at m/z 1071.5, co-localized with hypoxic regions, in which high levels of a tdTomato tryptic peptide at m/z 2225.0 were detected in this breast tumor xenograft model. The m/z values of all identified hypoxia-upregulated lipids and proteins, including the lipids and tryptic peptides presented as biomolecular images, are listed in Table S1 and Table S2.

Discussion

entire breast tumor volume to ensure that the lipids, peptides and proteins that we have identified through this analysis in hypoxic and normoxic tumor regions are statistically and biologically meaningful. Such an approach is demanded by the vast heterogeneity of the biomolecular distribution inside breast tumors, which makes more extensive imaging technology, especially the extension from 2D to 3D, necessary in cancer research. MALDI-MSI technology provides a comprehensive way to discover and localize biomarkers in breast tumors. We have extended our previous studies and have applied our recently developed methods to mine for hypoxia-related lipids, peptides and proteins in 3D MALDI-MSI volume data from MDA-MB-231-HRE-tdTomato breast tumor

The MALDI-MSI detection of hypoxic regions in the HRE-tdTomato tumor model ^{11,17,22} was possible as a result of the on-tissue digestion and MALDI-MSI detection of the fluorescent tdTomato protein, which gives rise to an abundant tryptic peptide at m/z 2225.0 in MALDI-MSI spectra²¹. We have pre-labeled all voxels as either low-tdTomato or high-tdTomato voxels based on their tdTomato tryptic peptide abundance. Instead of using the tdTomato tryptic peptide peak at m/z 2225.0 as a pre-labeling peak to discriminate between hypoxic and normoxic voxels, any other detected mass peak that is able to discriminate between hypoxic and normoxic tumor regions could be used. Of course, it is also possible to select mass spectral peaks that discriminate other tumor microenvironmental regions such as for example acidic, stromal, or necrotic regions among many others depending on the focus of study. Supervised labeling and annotating MALDI-MSI images is time-consuming and expensive. As a result, it is desirable to reduce the number of required labels without compromising classification accuracy. Semi-supervised learning techniques ⁴⁶ and active learning (AL) strategies ⁴⁷ have been studied for annotation and classification of hyperspectral MSI data and image segmentation ⁴⁸. A non-linear multivariate discriminant analysis method, such as the kernel method ⁴⁹, may also be applied to the feature extraction and classification of 3D MALDI-MSI data, which we will test in future studies. One of the assumptions of PCA-LDA analysis is that the distribution of the data follows a normal distribution. However, our MALDI-MSI data is skewed and not normally distributed, so that in this study we performed a preprocessing step using logarithmic transformation to eliminate skewed characteristics of the data in order to approximate the data's distribution to a normal distribution. Furthermore, other generalized linear regression models with loose assumptions of data distribution may be attempted to improve the data analysis accuracy in future studies employing 3D MALDI-MSI.

We identified 12 hypoxia-regulated proteins that are associated with glycolysis and glucose metabolism. In gluconeogenesis, phosphoenolpyruvate (PEP) is reduced to fructose 1.6-bisphosphate with ALDOA catalyzing the last reaction ⁵⁰. In glycolysis, fructose 1,6-bisphosphate is oxidized to PEP with ALDOA catalyzing the first

Page 11 of 24

Analytical Chemistry

reaction ⁵⁰. LDHA catalyzes the conversion of L-lactate and NAD⁺ to pyruvate and NADH in the final step of anaerobic glycolysis ⁵¹. PGAM1 is a glycolytic enzyme that catalyzes the internal transfer of a phosphate group from C-3 to C-2, which results in the conversion of 3-phosphoglycerate (3PG) to 2-phosphoglycerate (2PG) through a 2,3-bisphosphoglycerate intermediate 5^{2} . Several of the hypoxia-regulated glycolytic proteins that we identified are regulated by HIF-1, such as ALDOA, LDHA, and G3P⁵. G3P is a key enzyme in glycolysis that catalyzes the first step of the pathway by converting D-glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate into 3-phospho-D-glyceroyl phosphate ⁵³. With our 3D MALDI-MSI approach, we have also detected extracellular matrix modifying proteins such as CATD and SERPH to be up-regulated by hypoxia in breast tumors. CATD was detected as an extracellular protein loosely bound to the extracellular-matrix in breast cancer ⁵⁴. SERPH, localized to the endoplasmic reticulum, binds specifically to collagen and could be involved as a chaperone in the biosynthetic pathway of collagen in breast cancer ⁵⁵. Some hypoxia-regulated proteins that we identified belong to PPIA, which is associated with the PI3K/Akt/mTOR signaling pathway. A recent finding demonstrated that hypoxia can suppress mammalian TORC1 (mTORC1) activity by releasing TSC2 from its growth factor-induced association with inhibitory 14-3-3 proteins ⁵⁶. Hypoxia is a common finding in advanced human tumors and is often associated with metastatic dissemination and poor prognosis ¹⁻³. Cancer cells adapt to hypoxia by utilizing physiological adaptation pathways that promote a switch from oxidative to glycolytic metabolism and an activation of different signaling pathways, such as PI3K/Akt/mTOR signaling pathway, RAS signaling pathway, and the FAS signaling pathway ⁵⁷⁻⁵⁹.

Not only breast cancer cells, but also stromal cells such as fibroblasts, endothelial cells, and macrophages exist in the hypoxic regions of the MDA-MB-231 breast tumor model ⁶⁰. This heterogeneous mixture of different cell types within hypoxic tumor regions makes our study difficult to compare with homogeneous cell culture experiments *in vitro*. Our study is in good agreement with a recent study that identified three common hypoxiaregulated proteins using MALDI-MSI combined with quantitative proteomics in breast cancer, including galectin-1 (LEG1), cytoplasmic 1 actin (ACTB), and one hypoxia down-regulated protein histone H2B type 1-M (HIST1H2BM) ⁶¹. Our findings presented in this study revealed several hypoxia up-regulated proteins in solid tumors that were also identified in cell culture studies of hypoxia followed by quantitative proteomics, such as 1433B, ALDOA, PGAM1, LDHA, G3P, ENOA, ANXA5, cofilin-1 (COF1), clathrin heavy chain 1 (CLH1), elongation factor 1-alpha 1 (EF1A1), prelamin-A/C (LMNA), lamin-B1 (LMNB1), mitochondrial malate dehydrogenase (MDHM), and triosephosphate isomerase (TPIS) ⁶¹.

Small metabolites are also detected by MALDI-MSI. Here we revealed several metabolite peaks that have a high LDA loading rank in the analytical results, for example PCho at m/z 184.1. In our previous studies ^{17,62}, we illustrated the spatial co-localization between hypoxia and PCho at m/z 184.1 by multimodal molecular

imaging of MDA-MB-231-HRE-tdTomato breast tumor xenografts. This multimodal molecular imaging comprises *ex vivo* secondary ion mass spectrometry (SIMS) imaging and fluorescence optical imaging technology. Additional studies of all other ions detected below m/z 1000 may further elucidate the molecular mechanism underlying breast cancer hypoxia.

We identified strong positive correlations between PC species, such as PC(16:0/18:1), PC(18:1/18:1), PC(18:0/18:1), as well as SM(d18:1/24:0)⁶³, and the tdTomato tryptic peptide at m/z 2225.0 in hypoxic regions, suggesting that the PC species listed in Table 2 are increased in the hypoxic regions of MDA-MB-231-HREtdTomato breast tumor xenografts. However, no difference was observed in the degree of fatty acid saturation in these PC species when comparing hypoxic with normoxic regions. In one of our previous studies, which was performed in 2D on the central tumor sections only, we analyzed the lipid composition in hypoxic breast tumor regions compared with that in normoxic tumor regions, and found that the concentrations of PC(16:0/18:1), PC(18:1/18:1) and PC(18:0/18:1) were higher in hypoxic breast tumor regions compared to normoxic regions ²². These same PC species were also identified in the hypoxic breast tumor regions of all four tumors in the presented 3D MALDI-MSI analysis. Other to date unidentified lipid species were also increased in hypoxic breast tumor regions compared to normoxic regions (see Table S2). In this study, we have for the first time utilized multivariate methods applied to the analysis of the entire 3D breast tumor volume to systematically analyze the lipid distributions in hypoxic tumor regions compared with normoxic tumor regions. The effect of hypoxia in isolated hamster hearts and cardiac myocytes in culture decreased overall phospholipid biosynthesis ^{64,65}, which was mainly caused by a decrease in high-energy nucleotide levels due to hypoxia ⁶⁴, and also attributed to phospholipid degradation by phospholipase C⁶⁵. Since cancer cells are well known to be able to maintain unaltered high-energy nucleotide levels under hypoxic conditions due to their strong reliance on glycolysis ⁶⁶, it is not surprising that we detected an overall increase in several phospholipid species in hypoxic breast tumor regions.

Using 3D MALDI-MSI analysis based on tdTomato-voxel classification of hypoxic regions, we identified specific PC and SM species that are either broken down in normoxic regions, or that experience increased biosynthesis in hypoxic regions of this breast tumor model. We also identified specific proteins that are up-regulated in hypoxic regions of breast tumors. Our findings provide the breast cancer research community with a comprehensive analysis of hypoxia triggered lipidomic and proteomic changes in solid breast tumors. The obtained lists of lipids and proteins may be translated into the clinic for further validation as potential biomarkers of hypoxia, similar to recent clinical studies ^{67,68}, and they may provide potential therapeutic target for the treatment of hypoxic breast cancers.

Analytical Chemistry

Acknowledgments

We thank Dr. Paul T. Winnard Jr. for help with generating the MDA-MB-231-HRE-tdTomato cell line. We thank Ms. Menglin Cheng for technical laboratory support. This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health (NIH) grants R01 CA134695 and R01 CA154725, and by the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (NWO) research program of the "Foundation for Fundamental Research on Matter (FOM)". A portion of this work was performed in the Environmental Molecular Science Laboratory, a U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) national scientific user facility at the Pacific Northwest National Laboratory (PNNL) in Richland, WA. Battelle operates PNNL for the DOE under contract DE-AC05-76RLO01830.

Supporting Information Available

Supplemental information is available as noted in the text. This material is available free of charge on the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

Figures

Figure 1: Overview of the experimental and data analysis workflow for 3D MALDI-MSI in MDA-MB-231-HRE-tdTomato breast tumor xenografts. The workflow includes sample preparation of breast tumor tissue, 3D MALDI-MSI, and data processing.

Figure 2: Display of PC1, PC2, DA1, and DA2 score images of a representative MDA-MB-231-HREtdTomato breast tumor xenograft. The top row shows examples from the lipid range of m/z 100 to m/z 1000, and the bottom row shows examples from the tryptic peptide range of m/z 1000 to m/z 3000, as well as the corresponding tdTomato tryptic peptide image at m/z 2225.0.

Figure 3: Functional protein-protein interaction network of the hypoxia-up-regulated proteins that were identified by our 3D MALDI-MSI analysis of the MDA-MB-231-HRE-tdTomato breast tumor xenograft model. The hypoxia-up-regulated proteins are also listed in Supplemental Table 1. The Reactome Database was used to generate this network display (<u>http://www.reactome.org/</u>), and clusters the discovered proteins into distinct biological pathways that were up-regulated in hypoxic regions in these breast tumors.

Figure 4: Display of representative biomolecular MALDI-MS images in (A) 2D and (B) 3D. PS(14:0/22:6) at m/z 818.4, a tryptic peptide of hypoxia up-regulated protein (HYOU1) at m/z 1047.4, as well as LDHA at m/z 1071.5, co-localized with hypoxic regions, in which high levels of a tdTomato tryptic peptide at m/z 2225.0 were detected in MDA-MB-231-HRE-tdTomato breast tumor xenografts. This was evident in the 2D as well as the 3D display. The entire list of m/z values of hypoxia-up-regulated lipids and proteins, including all detected tryptic peptides per protein, is given in Table S1 and Table S2, respectively.

Tables

Table 1: List of the top 10 proteins that have been identified from the 3D MALDI-MSI data by 3D PCA-LDA, ranging from m/z 1000 to m/z 3000 in four MDA-MB-231-HRE-tdTomato breast tumor xenografts.

Table 2: List of the top 20 lipids that have been identified from 3D MALDI MSI data by 3D PCA-LDA, ranging from m/z 100 to m/z 1000 in four MDA-MB-231-HRE-tdTomato breast tumor xenografts.

References

- (1) Hockel, M.; Vaupel, P. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 2001, 93, 266-276.
- (2) Tatum, J. L.; Kelloff, G. J.; Gillies, R. J.; Arbeit, J. M.; Brown, J. M.; Chao, K. S.; Chapman, J. D.; Eckelman, W. C.; Fyles, A. W.; Giaccia, A. J.; Hill, R. P.; Koch, C. J.; Krishna, M. C.; Krohn, K. A.; Lewis, J. S.; Mason, R. P.; Melillo, G.; Padhani, A. R.; Powis, G.; Rajendran, J. G.; Reba, R.; Robinson, S. P.; Semenza, G. L.; Swartz, H. M.; Vaupel, P.; Yang, D.; Croft, B.; Hoffman, J.; Liu, G.; Stone, H.; Sullivan, D. *Int. J. Radiat. Biol.* 2006, *82*, 699-757.
- (3) Williams, K. J.; Cowen, R. L.; Stratford, I. J. Breast Cancer Res. 2001, 3, 328-331.
- (4) Ravi, R.; Mookerjee, B.; Bhujwalla, Z. M.; Sutter, C. H.; Artemov, D.; Zeng, Q. W.; Dillehay, L. E.; Madan, A.; Semenza, G. L.; Bedi, A. *Genes Dev.* 2000, 14, 34-44.
- (5) Semenza, G. L. Oncogene 2010, 29, 625-634.
- (6) An, W. G.; Kanekal, M.; Simon, M. C.; Maltepe, E.; Blagosklonny, M. V.; Neckers, L. M. Nature 1998, 392, 405-408.
- (7) Forsythe, J. A.; Jiang, B. H.; Iyer, N. V.; Agani, F.; Leung, S. W.; Koos, R. D.; Semenza, G. L. Mol. Cell. Biol. 1996, 16, 4604-4613.
- (8) Erler, J. T.; Bennewith, K. L.; Nicolau, M.; Dornhofer, N.; Kong, C.; Le, Q. T.; Chi, J. T. A.; Jeffrey, S. S.; Giaccia, A. J. *Nature* 2006, 440, 1222-1226.
- (9) Liang, Z. X.; Yoon, Y. H.; Votaw, J.; Goodman, M. M.; Williams, L.; Shim, H. Cancer Res. 2005, 65, 967-971.
- (10) Yamashita, T.; Ohneda, K.; Nagano, M.; Miyoshi, C.; Kaneko, N.; Miwa, Y.; Yamamoto, M.; Ohneda, O.;
 Fujii-Kuriyama, Y. J. Biol. Chem. 2008, 283, 18926-18936.
- (11) Krishnamachary, B.; Penet, M. F.; Nimmagadda, S.; Mironchik, Y.; Raman, V.; Solaiyappan, M.; Semenza, G. L.; Pomper, M. G.; Bhujwalla, Z. M. *PLoS One* **2012**, *7*, e44078.
- (12) Iakovlev, V. V.; Pintilie, M.; Morrison, A.; Fyles, A. W.; Hill, R. P.; Hedley, D. W. Lab. Invest. 2007, 87, 1206-1217.
- (13) Chi, J. T.; Wang, Z.; Nuyten, D. S. A.; Rodriguez, E. H.; Schaner, M. E.; Salim, A.; Wang, Y.; Kristensen, G. B.; Helland, A.; Borresen-Dale, A. L.; Giaccia, A.; Longaker, M. T.; Hastie, T.; Yang, G. P.; van de Vijver, M. J.; Brown, P. O. *PLoS Med.* 2006, *3*, 395-409.
- (14) Buffa, F. M.; Harris, A. L.; West, C. M.; Miller, C. J. Br. J. Cancer 2010, 103, 1136-1136.
- (15) Cui, Y. Z.; Zhang, D. L.; Jia, Q.; Li, T. L.; Zhang, W. D.; Han, J. X. Cancer Invest. 2009, 27, 747-755.
- (16) Glunde, K.; Shah, T.; Winnard, P. T., Jr.; Raman, V.; Takagi, T.; Vesuna, F.; Artemov, D.; Bhujwalla, Z.
 M. *Cancer Res.* 2008, *68*, 172-180.

- (17) Jiang, L.; Greenwood, T. R.; Artemov, D.; Raman, V.; Winnard, P. T., Jr.; Heeren, R. M.; Bhujwalla, Z. M.; Glunde, K. *Neoplasia* 2012, *14*, 732-741.
- (18) Jiang, L.; Greenwood, T. R.; van Hove, E. R. A.; Chughtai, K.; Raman, V.; Winnard, P. T.; Heeren, R. M. A.; Artemov, D.; Glunde, K. *NMR Biomed.* 2013, *26*, 285-298.
- (19) Chughtai, K.; Heeren, R. M. Chem. Rev. 2010, 110, 3237-3277.

- (20) Calligaris, D.; Caragacianu, D.; Liu, X. H.; Norton, I.; Thompson, C. J.; Richardson, A. L.; Golshan, M.; Easterling, M. L.; Santagata, S.; Dillon, D. A.; Jolesz, F. A.; Agar, N. Y. R. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 2014, *111*, 15184-15189.
- (21) Chughtai, K.; Jiang, L.; Post, H.; Winnard, P. T., Jr.; Greenwood, T. R.; Raman, V.; Bhujwalla, Z. M.; Heeren, R. M.; Glunde, K. J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom. 2013, 24, 711-717.
- (22) Chughtai, K.; Jiang, L.; Greenwood, T. R.; Glunde, K.; Heeren, R. M. J. Lipid Res. 2012, 54, 333-344.
- (23) Andersson, M.; Groseclose, M. R.; Deutch, A. Y.; Caprioli, R. M. Nat. Methods 2008, 5, 101-108.
- (24) Chughtai, K.; Jiang, L.; Greenwood, T. R.; Klinkert, I.; Amstalden van Hove, E. R.; Heeren, R. M.; Glunde, K. Anal. Chem. 2012, 84, 1817-1823.
- (25) Klerk, L. A.; Broersen, A.; Fletcher, I. W.; van Liere, R.; Heeren, R. M. A. Int. J. Mass Spectrom. 2007, 260, 222-236.
- (26) Eijkel, G. B.; Kaletas, B. K.; van der Wiel, I. M.; Kros, J. M.; Luider, T. M.; Heeren, R. M. A. Surf. Interface Anal. 2009, 41, 675-685.
- (27) Dill, A. L.; Eberlin, L. S.; Zheng, C.; Costa, A. B.; Ifa, D. R.; Cheng, L.; Masterson, T. A.; Koch, M. O.;
 Vitek, O.; Cooks, R. G. Anal. Bioanal. Chem. 2010, 398, 2969-2978.
- (28) Eberlin, L. S.; Norton, I.; Dill, A. L.; Golby, A. J.; Ligon, K. L.; Santagata, S.; Cooks, R. G.; Agar, N. Y. *Cancer Res.* **2012**, *72*, 645-654.
- (29) Thomas, A.; Patterson, N. H.; Marcinkiewicz, M. M.; Lazaris, A.; Metrakos, P.; Chaurand, P. *Anal. Chem.* 2013, 85, 2860-2866.
- (30) Djidja, M. C.; Claude, E.; Snel, M. F.; Francese, S.; Scriven, P.; Carolan, V.; Clench, M. R. Anal. Bioanal. Chem. 2010 397, 587-601.
- (31) Trede, D.; Schiffler, S.; Becker, M.; Wirtz, S.; Steinhorst, K.; Strehlow, J.; Aichler, M.; Kobarg, J. H.; Oetjen, J.; Dyatlov, A.; Heldmann, S.; Walch, A.; Thiele, H.; Maass, P.; Alexandrov, T. Anal. Chem. 2012 84, 6079-6087.
- (32) Alexandrov, T. BMC Bioinform. 2012, 13 Suppl 16, S11.
- (33) Jones, E. A.; Deininger, S. O.; Hogendoorn, P. C.; Deelder, A. M.; McDonnell, L. A. J. Proteomics 2012 75, 4962-4989.
- (34) Cailleau, R.; Young, R.; Olive, M.; Reeves, W. J., Jr. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 1974, 53, 661-674.

ACS Paragon Plus Environment

Page 17 of 24

Analytical Chemistry

- (35) Glunde, K.; Jie, C.; Bhujwalla, Z. M. Cancer Res. 2004, 64, 4270-4276.
- (36) Wold, S.; Esbensen, K.; Geladi, P. Chemometr. Intell. Lab. 1987, 2, 37-52.
- (37) Yu. H.: Yang, H. Pattern Recognit. 2001. 34, 2067-2070.
- (38) Groseclose, M. R.; Andersson, M.; Hardesty, W. M.; Caprioli, R. M. J. Mass Spectrom. 2007, 42, 254-262.
- (39) Schober, Y.; Schramm, T.; Spengler, B.; Rompp, A. Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom. 2011, 25, 2475-2483.
- (40) Duda, R. O.; Hart, P. E.; Stork, D. G. Pattern Classification, 2nd ed.; Wiley: New York, 2001.
 - (41) Tanimoto, K.; Makino, Y.; Pereira, T.; Poellinger, L. EMBO J. 2000, 19, 4298-4309.
- (42) Ozawa, K.; Kuwabara, K.; Tamatani, M.; Takatsuji, K.; Tsukamoto, Y.; Kaneda, S.; Yanagi, H.; Stern, D. M.; Eguchi, Y.; Tsujimoto, Y.; Ogawa, S.; Tohyama, M. J. Biol. Chem. 1999, 274, 6397-6404.
 - (43) Sedoris, K. C.; Thomas, S. D.; Miller, D. M. BMC Cancer 2010, 10.
 - (44) Ray, R.; Miller, D. M. Mol. Cell. Biol. 1991, 11, 2154-2161.
 - (45) Botlagunta, M.; Krishnamachary, B.; Vesuna, F.; Winnard, P. T.; Bol, G. M.; Patel, A. H.; Raman, V. PLoS One 2011, 6.
 - (46) Bruand, J.; Alexandrov, T.; Sistla, S.; Wisztorski, M.; Meriaux, C.; Becker, M.; Salzet, M.; Fournier, I.; Macagno, E.; Bafna, V. J. Proteome Res. 2011, 10, 4734-4743.
 - (47) Hanselmann, M.; Roder, J.; Kothe, U.; Renard, B. Y.; Heeren, R. M.; Hamprecht, F. A. Anal. Chem. 2013, 85, 147-155.
 - (48) Hanselmann, M.; Kothe, U.; Kirchner, M.; Renard, B. Y.; Amstalden, E. R.; Glunde, K.; Heeren, R. M. A.; Hamprecht, F. A. J. Proteome Res. 2009, 8, 3558-3567.
 - (49) Shawe-Taylor, J.; Cristianini, N. Kernel Methods for Pattern Analysis; Cambridge University Press, 2004.
 - (50) Marsh, J. J.; Lebherz, H. G. Trends Biochem. Sci. 1992, 17, 110-113.
 - (51) Chung, F. Z.; Tsujibo, H.; Bhattacharyya, U.; Sharief, F. S.; Li, S. S. L. Biochem. J. 1985, 231, 537-541.
 - (52) Li, L.; Dworkowski, F. S. N.; Cook, P. F. J. Biol. Chem. 2006, 281, 25568-25576.
 - (53) Semenza, G. L. Nat. Rev. Cancer 2003, 3, 721-732.
 - (54) Tandon, A. K.; Clark, G. M.; Chamness, G. C.; Chirgwin, J. M.; Mcguire, W. L. N. Engl. J. Med. 1990, 322, 297-302.
 - (55) Heit, C.; Jackson, B. C.; McAndrews, M.; Wright, M. W.; Thompson, D. C.; Silverman, G. A.; Nebert, D. W.; Vasiliou, V. Hum. Genomics 2013, 7.
 - (56) DeYoung, M. P.; Horak, P.; Sofer, A.; Sgroi, D.; Ellisen, L. W. Genes Dev. 2008, 22, 239-251.
 - (57) Sharma, V.; Dixit, D.; Koul, N.; Mehta, V. S.; Sen, E. J. Mol. Med. 2011, 89, 123-136.
 - (58) Menendez, J. A.; Lupu, R. Arch. Immunol. Ther. Exp. 2004, 52, 414-426.
- (59) Agani, F.; Jiang, B. H. Curr. Cancer Drug Targets 2013, 13, 245-251.

- (60) Radisky, D. Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol. 2012, 4.
- (61) Djidja, M. C.; Chang, J.; Hadjiprocopis, A.; Schmich, F.; Sinclair, J.; Mrsnik, M.; Schoof, E. M.; Barker, H. E.; Linding, R.; Jorgensen, C.; Erler, J. T. J. Proteome Res. 2014, 13, 2297-2313.
- (62) van Hove, E. R. A.; Blackwell, T. R.; Klinkert, I.; Eijkel, G. B.; Heeren, R. M. A.; Glunde, K. *Cancer Res.* **2010**, *70*, 9012-9021.
- (63) Fahy, E.; Cotter, D.; Sud, M.; Subramaniam, S. BBA-Mol. Cell Biol. L. 2011, 1811, 637-647.
- (64) Wong, J. T.; Man, R. Y. K.; Choy, P. C. Lipids 1996, 31, 1059-1067.
- (65) Nachas, N.; Pinson, A. FEBS Lett. 1992, 298, 301-305.
- (66) Robey, I. F.; Lien, A. D.; Welsh, S. J.; Baggett, B. K.; Gillies, R. J. Neoplasia 2005, 7, 324-330.
- (67) Steurer, S.; Borkowski, C.; Odinga, S.; Buchholz, M.; Koop, C.; Huland, H.; Becker, M.; Witt, M.; Trede, D.; Omidi, M.; Kraus, O.; Bahar, A. S.; Seddiqi, A. S.; Singer, J. M.; Kwiatkowski, M.; Trusch, M.; Simon, R.; Wurlitzer, M.; Minner, S.; Schlomm, T.; Sauter, G.; Schluter, H. *Int. J. Cancer* 2013, *133*, 920-928.
- (68) Steurer, S.; Seddiqi, A. S.; Singer, J. M.; Bahar, A. S.; Eichelberg, C.; Rink, M.; Dahlem, R.; Huland, H.; Sauter, G.; Simon, R.; Minner, S.; Burandt, E.; Stahl, P. R.; Schlomm, T.; Wurlitzer, M.; Schluter, H. *Anticancer Res.* 2014, *34*, 2255-2261.

Figure 1





Table 1

				Ion Abandance in High	Ion Abandance in Low tdTomato	Ratio of Ion Abundance in
Loading				tdTomato Regions (Mean ±	Regions (Mean ± Standard	High tdTomato Regions to
Rank	Descriotion	M/Z	Peptide Squence	Standard Errors)	Errors)	Low tdTomato Regions
1		1066.56393	R.QLAEAHAQAK.A	1006.26 ± 273.78	112.05 ± 1.97	8.98
38		1100.56941	R.GGAEGELQALR.A	749.96 ± 220.47	97.66 ± 5.02	7.68
44		1046.51123	K.SLAAEEEAAR.Q	750.13 ± 216.48	103.03 ± 3.24	7.28
49	Plectin	1101.56465	R.QLAEGTAQQR.L	740.79 ± 223.35	94.85 ± 5.81	7.81
61	(PLEC HUMAN)	1062.52477	R.LQLEACETR.T	732.77 ± 207.58	101.08 ± 4.07	7.25
125	,	1160.55415	R.SDEGQLSPATR.G	706.56 ± 204.69	98.21 ± 5.51	7.19
142		1286.6851	R.WQAVLAQTDVR.Q	721.06 ± 193.92	98.70 ± 3.38	7.31
154		1015.55303	R.LSVAAQEAAR.L	779.47 ± 199.95	112.79 ± 4.50	6.91
180		1287.69024	K.AQVEQELTTLR.L	713.51 ± 194.42	96.51 ± 4.49	7.39
	Deoxyuridine 5'-triphosphate					
3	nucleotidohydrolase, mitochondrial	1082.55884	R.LSEHATAPTR.G	893.43 ± 237.87	103.21 ± 2.03	8.66
54	(DUT_HUMAN)	1284.68405	R.ARPAEVGGMQLR.F	726.66 ± 193.50	102.99 ± 1.39	7.06
3	40S ribosomal protein S2	1082.52985	R.GCTATLGNFAK.A	893.43 ± 237.87	103.21 ± 2.03	8.66
141	(RS2_HUMAN)	1025.59892	R.GTGIVSAPVPK.K	746.72 ± 218.44	105.12 ± 3.78	7.10
3	Triosephosphate isomerase	1082.57813	R.KFFVGGNWK.M	893.43 ± 237.87	103.21 ± 2.03	8.66
84	(TPIS_HUMAN)	1294.63854	A.TPQQAQEVHEK.L	739.23 ± 202.20	98.18 ± 3.25	7.53
			K.VAHALAEGLGVIACIGEKLDERE			
182		2992.57705	AGITEK.V	293.67 ± 79.22	48.92 ± 5.45	6.00
200		1269.65069	R.IIYGGSVTGATCK.E	721.40 ± 201.32	96.34 ± 3.68	7.49
	Plasminogen activator inhibitor 1 RNA-					
5	binding protein	1255.63887	R.RPDQQLQGEGK.I	755.45 ± 191.67	104.81 ± 1.51	7.21
237	(PAIRB_HUMAN)	1460.70873	K.SAAQAAAQTNSNAAGK.Q	781.81 ± 200.12	123.57 ± 14.24	6.33
6	Protein S100-A11	1019.50033	K.ISSPTETER.C	726.01 ± 200.71	107.21 ± 2.45	6.77
80	(S10AB_HUMAN)	1141.59595	K.NQKDPGVLDR.M	790.57 ± 208.05	99.82 ± 3.43	7.92
7	ATP-dependent RNA helicase	1283.64118	R.MLDMGFEPQIR.K	760.57 ± 193.62	107.23 ± 1.84	7.09
86	(DDX17_HUMAN,DDX18_HUMAN,DDX3	1336.63874	R.QTMLFSATQTR.K	702.61 ± 195.82	97.25 ± 3.30	7.22
164	X_HUMAN,DDX3Y_HUMAN,DDX5_HUM	1252.62798	R.TAQEVETYRR.S	716.36 ± 195.50	100.47 ± 3.73	7.13
7		1283.62256	V.SGKDYNVTANSK.L	760.57 ± 193.62	107.23 ± 1.84	7.09
	L-lactate dehydrogenase A chain					
65	(LDHA_HUMAN)	1071.54036	R.FRYLMGER.L	748.54 ± 232.27	95.23 ± 5.14	7.86
212		1495.77502	K.IVSGKDYNVTANSK.L	754.60 ± 202.60	101.29 ± 0.99	7.45
8	Von Hippel-Lindau-binding protein 1	1051.5418	K.KLDEQYQK.Y	814.67 ± 249.20	102.53 ± 3.17	7.95
15	(PFD3_HUMAN)	1310.63682	K.KKESTNSMETR.F	725.23 ± 190.66	100.76 ± 0.59	7.20
9		1067.52279	S.RSYTSGPGSR.I	924.64 ± 265.22	108.79 ± 1.07	8.50
52	Keratin, type II cytoskeletal 8	1081.56359	K.SYKVSTSGPR.A	803.44 ± 232.35	98.58 ± 6.10	8.15
66	(K2C8_HUMAN)	1060.56326	R.KLLEGEESR.I	775.70 ± 206.40	97.91 ± 4.92	7.92

Figure 3



Table 2

Loading Rank	m/z	ID	lon	lon Abundance in High tdTomato Regions (Mean± Standard Error)	lon Abundance in Low tdTomato Regions (Mean ± Standard Error)	Ratio of Ion Abundance in High tdTomato Regions to Low tdTomatos Regions
1	786.5	PC 18:1/18:1	[M+H]+	1689.7 ± 261.71	577.19 ± 249.28	2.93
2	760.5	PC 16:0/18:1	[M+H]+	1888.14 ± 249.59	630.23 ± 273.25	3.00
3	798.5	PC 16:0/18:1	[M+K]+	1131.06 ± 283.07	406.36 ± 223.62	2.78
4	788.5	PC 18:0/18:1	[M+H]+	1536.64 ± 202.72	514.16 ± 223.42	2.99
5	810.5	PC 18:0/18:1	[M+Na]+	1306.4 ± 274.33	477.4 ± 242.67	2.74
6	824.5	PC 18:1/18:1	[M+K]+	1052.65 ± 302.21	402.23 ± 230.59	2.62
7	782.5	PC 16:0/18:1	[M+Na]+	1276.73 ± 312.53	496.08 ± 288.39	2.57
8	826.5	PC 18:0/18:1	[M+K]+	1046.28 ± 336.34	475.48 ± 316.11	2.20
9	761.4	isotope of m/z 760.5	-	1313.26 ± 232.81	454.42 ± 224.22	2.89
10	796.5	PC 16:0/18:2	[M+K]+	1014.73 ± 259.08	365.78 ± 203.87	2.77
11	787.4	Isotope of m/z 786.5	-	1297.53 ± 232.96	450.43 ± 217.51	2.88
12	789.4	Isotope of m/z 788.5	-	1161.54 ± 235.28	403.91 ± 202.59	2.88
13	808.5	PC 18:1/18:1	[M+Na]+	1099.06 ± 308.28	423.54 ± 246.14	2.59
14	811.5	PI 10:0/22:0	[M+H]+	1168.07 ± 255.77	419.46 ± 231.27	2.78
15	767.4	Lipid	Unknown	845.76 ± 274.35	311.68 ± 188.17	2.71
16	809.5	Lipid	Unknown	997.64 ± 293.27	377.82 ± 229.82	2.64
17	763.4	isotope of m/z 808.5	[M+Na]+	1100.46 ± 235.03	388.01 ± 200.49	2.84
18	790.4	PC 16:1/18:4	[M+K]+	980.94 ± 256.92	355.47 ± 197.5	2.76
19	801.4	PC 16:0/18:0	[M+K]+	888.25 ± 310.67	359.87 ± 235.78	2.47
20	837.5	SM d18:1/24:0	[M+Na]+	1029.89 ± 301.97	410.84 ± 267.38	2.51



